

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Clara Solis

**Date Submitted:** 08/30/2021 11:11 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0665

**Comments for Public Posting:** I oppose this motion. I believe more study has to be done on this issue. Many of our communities have aging infrastructure. In our community a developer was attempting to build a 33 unit co-living building in our Historic District which is an HPOZ. Most of the units would have had 5 units and the developer would not commit to having one lease per unit. So in reality there could have been 150 separate families or households living in an apartment building with only 78 parking spaces. This would put a terrific strain on our infrastructure. It would cause parking and traffic problems. Additionally, more school and park facilities would be needed. This needs substantial study to determine how this would impact our communities. Obviously 150 households is very different from 33 units. Should CEQA studies be required? MND's? This is a very different type of project. Additionally, the units were being poorly designed as they were trying to get as many in as they could with the lower inside units receiving little light or fresh air. What open space would be required? What recreational facilities? This is very probably a nightmare in the making. These could be slums with predatory landlords. In the project in our neighborhood, the developer planned to offer predatory lending to the tenants wherein if they were short they could charge their rent at exorbitant interest rates that the tenant would never get out of. This could be a nightmare for our young people who could be saddled with debt for years. It is trying to circumvent tenant's rights. Please study this much more. Do not approve this.